## Form Applies Only to Faculty Hired BEFORE 2013-14 Academic Year

Departmental Peer Evaluation Form for Reappointment and Promotion

	l out by Departmental Revie umentation Submitted by the		C)
1.Reappointment to a2.Continuing Appointment	nent	year term.	
3.         Promotion to           DEFINITION FOR RATING CA	TEGORIES		
4 -Outstanding -3 -Highly Effective -2 -Effective -	Consistently exceeds performance expectations Often exceeds the performance expectations Generally meets performance expectations. Employee may exceed expectations or needs improvement in some areas. Performance is at the expected and usual level.		
<ol> <li>Needs Improvement -</li> <li>Unsatisfactory -</li> </ol>	Does not always meet expect improvement in performanc Fails to meet reasonable exp substantive improvement in	ations. Immediate ar e is required. ectations. Immediate	and
A. Effectiveness in teaching. Narrative:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Rating	
B. Scholarly ability and profe Narrative:	essional growth.	Rating	
C. Professional Service Narrative:		Rating	
D. Summary Statement (inclu Narrative:	de strengths and areas for impro-	vement).	
Overall Rating Recommendation for Reappointmen Name	nt and/or Promotion:		
Is Is Not Recommend	ded to reappointment to a ded for continuing appointment ded for promotion to the rank of		year term.
Peer Evaluation Team Signatures:		Date Date	
Total Votes Available:	Number in Support:		ber Not pport:
I have read this evaluation. A state	ment is attached:	Yes	No
Signed Faculty Member		Date	