Form Applies Only to Faculty Hired 2013-14 Academic Year and Thereafter



State University of New York College of Agriculture and Technology Cobleskill, New York

ACADEMIC FACULTY EVALUATION FORM

TO BE COMPLETED BY EVALUATOR

Name	Date
Current Rank	Department
Evaluation Form for period through	
PURPOSE & INSTRUCTIONS	
The primary purpose of the evaluation system is self-improvement. E involving reappointment, continuing appointment and/or promotion.	Evaluation is also an integral part of the decision
This evaluation is to be completed by the Dean or his/her designed observations need to be included to verify the evaluation process.	ee. At least three (3) classroom/ reference

Evaluation to be used for (Check all that apply):

	1. Effectiveness and self-improvement
--	---------------------------------------

	2. Promotion to
--	-----------------

	3. Reappointment to a	year term
--	-----------------------	-----------

4. Continuing appointment

Criteria listed are meant to be illustrative not definitive (refer to Faculty Handbook for Criteria for Reappointment, Continuing Appointment, and Promotion). Use those criteria that apply and add lines for any other criteria to be considered. APPC recommends a minimum of ten (10) criteria spread across the three (3) categories, with no less than four (4) in the Teaching/Librarianship category and two (2) in each of the others. Give each selected criterion a rating of HE, E, or ME.

Because many people review this document, please write specific comments in the summary section that will help the reviewers make an informed recommendation. Use additional pages as necessary.

DEFINITIONS FOR RATINGS (Only the following ratings should be used):

- HE *Highly Effective* Often exceeds the performance expectations.
- **E** *Effective* Generally meets performance expectations. Employee may exceed expectations or needs improvement in some areas. Performance is at the expected and usual level.
- ME *Minimally Effective* Does not always meet expectations. Immediate and substantive improvement in performance is required.

Performance Category #1a – Effectiveness in Teaching

For ease of completion, Category #1 is split between Teaching and Librarianship. Please use the section that applies to the individual being evaluated.

Rating		Rating	
1.	Instructional proficiency in speech, organization of material, style of presentation, stimulation of critical thinking	6.	Developing appropriate course content, curriculum, and/or teaching materials
2.	In-depth knowledge of content area	7.	Creating/implementing appropriate instruments for student assessment
3.	Current/proven pedagogical practices	8.	Adapts/enhances course content based on feedback
4.	Interacting with students outside of classroom setting	9.	
5.	Engaging advisees regularly	10)

Summary Statement - Include Strengths and Areas for Improvement:

This faculty member was observed in his/her classroom on the following dates:

Date	by	
Date	by	
Date	by	
Date	by	

The attached Observation Forms were reviewed with the faculty member.

Performance Category #1b – Effectiveness in Librarianship

For ease of completion, Category #1 is split between Teaching and Librarianship. Please use the section that applies to the individual being evaluated.

Rating		Rating	
1.	In-depth knowledge of specialization	7.	Creates and maintains instruments for assessing effectiveness
2.	Uses feedback to improve operations and/or performance	8.	Promoting services
3.	Managing area/s of responsibility (supervising/evaluating staff, policies, procedures, etc.)	<u> </u>	Developing liaison relationships
4.	Understanding of overall operations, commitment to goals/services	10.	Developing programs
5.	New initiatives	11.	
6.	Integrating information literacy into the curriculum	12.	

Summary Statement - Include Strengths and Areas for Improvement:

This faculty member was observed in his/her classroom or on Reference duty on the following dates:

Date	 by	
Date	by	
Date	by	
Date	by	

The attached Observation Forms were reviewed with the faculty member.

Performance Category #2 – Scholarship

Rating		Rating	
1.	Pursues advancement of knowledge base and performance levels	5.	Produces articles in referreed or editor- reviewed publications
2.	Develops marketable instructional materials or instruction material to enhance course presentation	6.	Engages in successful grantsmanship
3.	Creates peer-reviewed artistic works	7.	Creates published (or selected unpublished) research, books, etc.
4.	Invitations for professional presentations or performances	8.	

Summary Statement - Include Strengths and Areas for Improvement:

Performance Category #3 – Service			
Rating		Rating	
1.	Participates and/or leads in campus governance	7. Participates in community affairs	
2.	Participates and/or leads in University governance	8. Testifies as an expert witness	
3.	Supports student organizations	9. Serves on editorial boards	
4.	Serves internal/external and public and/or private organizations	<u>10.</u> Presents keynote addresses	
5.	Develops and implements local workshops	11	
<u> </u>	Serves in professional organizations	12	

Summary Statement - Include Strengths and Areas for Improvement:

Evaluation Conference Summary

Select and complete the appropriate section.

A. This evaluation has been completed for purposes of self-improvement only.

B. This evaluation has been completed for purposes of reappointment, continuing appointment and/or promotion.

Comments:

Recommendation for Reappointment, Continuing Appointment and/or Promotion:

(Refer to Advancement Evaluation Table in the Faculty Handbook)

Name			
🗌 Is	Is Not	Recommended for promotion to the rank of	
🗌 Is	Is Not	Recommended for reappointment to a	year term
🗌 Is	Is Not	Recommended for continuing appointment	

Signature		
	Evaluator – Dean's Designee	Date
I Do	Do Not Concur with this recommendation.	
Signature		
8	Dean	Date
********	***************************************	****
I have review	ed this report.	
Signature		
C	Faculty Member	Date
	-	